

CS 396: Online Markets

Lecture 4: Online Learning

Last Time:

- auction theory
- second-price auction
- first-price auction
- complete information analysis (Nash equilibrium)
- incomplete information analysis (Bayes-Nash equilibrium)

Today:

- online learning
- best in hindsight
- regret
- exponential weights
- learning rates

Exercise: Online Learning

Setup:

- $n = 10$ days
- you choose umbrella or not
- then nature chooses weather
- payoffs

	it rains	it is sunny
you take umbrella	1	0
you don't take umbrella	0	1

Question: What's your best strategy?

Online Learning

“make decisions over time, learn to do well”

Model:

- k actions
- n rounds
- action j 's payoff in round i : $v_j^i \in [0, h]$
- in round i :

- (a) choose an action j^i
- (b) learn payoffs $v_1^i, \dots, v_k^i$
- (c) obtain payoff $v_{j^i}^i$.

- payoff $\text{ALG} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_{j^i}^i$

Goal: profit close to best action in hindsight

Def: the **best in hindsight** payoff is

$$\text{OPT} = \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n v_j^i$$

Def: the **regret** of the algorithm is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Regret}_n &= 1/n [\text{OPT} - \text{ALG}] \\ &= 1/n \left[\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n v_j^i - \sum_{i=1}^n v_{j^i}^i \right] \end{aligned}$$

Goal: vanishing regret, a.k.a. “no regret”

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Regret}_n = 0$$

Alg 0: follow the leader (FTL)

- let $V_j^i = \sum_{r=1}^i v_j^r$
- in round i choose: $j^i = \text{argmax}_j V_j^{i-1}$

Example: $k = 2$ actions

	1	2	3	4	5	6	...
Action 1	1/2	0	1	0	1	0	...
Action 2	0	1	0	1	0	1	...

- $\text{OPT} \approx n/2$
- $\text{FTL} \approx 0$
- worst-case regret is constant, i.e., $\Theta(1)$

Thm: all deterministic online learning algorithms have $\Theta(1)$ worst-case regret.

Proof Sketch: In each round i , nature gives payoff 0 to ALG's action, and payoff 1 to all other actions.

Conclusion: must randomized.

Exercise: Follow the Leader

Setup:

	1	2	3	4	5
Action 1	1/2	1	0	0	1
Action 2	0	1	1	1	1

Question: What action does follow the leader choose in rounds 3? And round 5?

Learning Algorithms

Idea: exponentially increase (resp. decrease) probability on good (resp. bad) actions.

Alg 1: exponential weights (EW)

- learning rate ϵ
- let $V_j^i = \sum_{r=1}^i v_j^r$
- in round i choose j with probability π_j^i proportional to $(1 + \epsilon)^{V_j^{i-1}/h}$

$$\text{i.e., } \pi_j^i = \frac{(1+\epsilon)^{V_j^{i-1}/h}}{\sum_{j'} (1+\epsilon)^{V_{j'}^{i-1}/h}}$$

Example:

- $\epsilon = 1$
- $v_j^i \in \{0, 1\}$
- exp. weights = “double score if payoff = 1”

	1	2	3	4
Action 1	1	1	0	0
Action 2	0	0	1	1
	∴	-	-	-
Weight 1	1	2	4	4
Weight 2	1	1	1	2

Intuition: learning rate ϵ

- small ϵ : takes a long time to make good decisions.
- large ϵ : long run decisions are not accurate.

Thm: for payoffs in $[0, h]$,

$$\mathbf{E}[\text{EW}] \geq (1 - \epsilon) \text{OPT} - \frac{h}{\epsilon} \ln k.$$

Cor: in n steps and payoffs in $[0, h]$, tune learning rate ϵ so

$$\mathbf{E}[\text{Regret}(\text{EW})] \leq 2h\sqrt{\frac{\ln k}{n}}$$

Proof:

- $\text{OPT} < hn$
- $\mathbf{E}[\text{EW}] \geq \text{OPT} - \epsilon hn - \frac{h}{\epsilon} \ln k$
- choose learning rate to equate: $\epsilon hn = \frac{h}{\epsilon} \ln k$
- $\Rightarrow \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\ln k}{n}}$
- $\text{Regret} = \frac{1}{n}[2hn\epsilon] = 2h\sqrt{\frac{\ln k}{n}}$

Note: to set learning rate

- larger $n \Rightarrow$ slower learning rate is optimal
 - larger $k \Rightarrow$ faster learning rate is optimal
-