

## EECS 336: Lecture 2: Introduction to Algorithms

### Philosophy, Tractability, Big-Oh

**Reading:** Chapters 2 & 3.

#### Announcements:

- all communication to course staff on Piazza.
- Lecture notes on Canvas (before class.)
- syllabus on canvas.
- Practice on “solved problems” in text.
- Prerequisites:
  - EECS 212: Discrete math.
  - EECS 214: Data Structures.
- Homework:
  - work with partner
  - must communicate solution well.
  - automatically drop 3 lowest hw grades & 3 lowest peer reviews.
  - peer review
    - \* can you tell if algorithm and proof are correct?
    - \* communicate algorithms.
  - solutions Friday, peer reviews Sunday, grades Tuesday.
- Peer review logistics
  - 3 peer review per problem.
  - 1 peer review is graded (random)
  - detailed rubric provided.
- Exam dates on Canvas.

#### Last Time:

- motivation
- fibonacci numbers

#### Today:

- philosophy
- computational tractability
- runtime analysis & big-oh

## Algorithm Design and Analysis

gives rigorous mathematical framework for thinking about and solving problems in CS and other fields.

### Goals

- quickly compute solutions to problems.
- identify general algorithm design and analysis approaches.
- understand what makes problems tractable or intractable.

### Three Steps

1. problem modeling: abstract problem to essential details.
2. algorithm design
3. algorithm analysis
  - efficiency
  - correctness, and
  - (sometimes) “quality.”

## Computational Tractability

“is a problem solvable by a computer?”

**Def:** problem is *tractable* if worst-case run-time to compute solution is polynomial in size of input.

**Def:**  $T(n)$  = worst case runtime of instances of size  $n$ .

- size  $n$  measured in bits, or
- number of “components.”

**Example:** Fibonacci Numbers

$\text{fib}(k)$  has  $n = \log k$  bits.

- recursive :  $T(n) \approx 2^{2^n}$
- dynamic program / iterative alg:  $T(n) \approx 2^n$
- repeated squaring:  $T(n) \approx n$ .

**Question:** why worst case?

- every instance?

- typical instances?
- random instances?

**Question:** Benefits?

- usually doable.
- often tight for typical or random instances.
- analyses “compose”

**Question:** why polynomial?

**Answer:** polynomial means algorithm scales well, i.e.,  $T(cn) \leq dT(n)$ .

**Example:**

$$T(n) = n^k$$
$$T(cn) = (cn)^k = \underbrace{c^k}_d n^k = dn^k$$

### Tractable vs. Brute-force

- brute-force: “try all solutions, output best one”
- often runtime of brute-force  $\geq$  exponential time.
- tractable algorithms require exploiting structure of problem.

### Main goals for algorithm design

1. show problem is tractable: exists algorithm with polynomial runtime.
2. show problem is intractable for all algorithms, runtime is super-polynomial.

**Question:** Which is easier?

**Answer:** showing tractable.

## Runtime Analysis

“bound  $T(n)$  for algorithm”

### Big-Oh Notation

**Def:**  $T(n)$  is  $O(f(n))$  if

$\exists n_0, c > 0$  such that  $\forall n > n_0, T(n) < cf(n)$ .

**Question:** why?

**Answer:**

- exact analysis is too detailed.
- constants vary from machine to machine.

**Example:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= an^2 + bn + d \\
 &= O(n)? O(n^2)? O(n^3)? \\
 T(n) &\leq an^2 + bn^2 + dn^2 \\
 &= \underbrace{(a + b + d)}_c n^2 \\
 &\leq cn^2
 \end{aligned}$$

**Fact 1:**  $f = O(g)$  &  $g = O(h) \implies f = O(h)$

**Fact 2:**  $f = O(h)$  &  $g = O(h) \implies f + g = O(h)$

**Fact 3:**  $g = O(f) \implies g + f = O(f)$

**Proof:** (of Fact 2)

$f = O(h) \implies \exists c, n_0$  such that  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) < ch(n)$

$g = O(h) \implies \exists c', n'_0$  such that  $\forall n > n'_0, g(n) < c'h(n)$

$\implies \forall n \geq \max(n_0, n'_0), f(n) + g(n) \leq (c' + c)h(n)$

$\implies f + g = O(h)$

**QED**

**Note:**

- be succinct: do not write  $O(n^2 + 2)$ ,  $O(5n)$ , etc.
- be tight: if  $T(n)$  is  $n^2$  do not say  $T(n)$  is  $O(n^3)$ .

## Logarithms and Big-Oh

**Def:**  $\log_b n = l \leftrightarrow b^l = n$

- $\log_{10} n$  = number of digits to represent  $n$ .
- $\log_2 n$  = number of bits to represent  $n$ .

**Fact 4:**  $\forall b, c \log_b c = O(\log_c n)$

**Fact 5:**  $\forall b, x \log_b n = O(n^x)$ .

**Proof:** (of Fact 4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log_c n = l &\implies n = c^l \\
 \log_b n &= \log_b(c^l) \\
 &= l \log_b(c) \\
 &= \log_c n \underbrace{\log_b c}_d \\
 &= O(\log_c n)
 \end{aligned}$$

**QED**

### Common Runtimes

- $O(\log n)$  - logarithmic
- $O(n)$  - linear
- $O(n \log n)$
- $O(n^2)$  - quadratic
- $O(n^3)$  - cubic
- $O(n^k)$  - polynomial
- $O(2^n)$  - exponential
- $O(n!)$  - Uh-Oh

### Lower Bounds

**Def:**  $T(n)$  is  $\Omega(f(n))$  if

$\exists n_0, c > 0$  such that  $\forall n > n_0, T(n) > cf(n)$ .

### Exact Bounds

**Def:**  $T(n)$  is  $\Theta(f(n))$  if

$T(n)$  is  $O(f(n))$  and  $\Omega(f(n))$ .


## Graphs

“encode pair-wise relationships”

**Examples:** computer networks, social networks, travel networks, dependencies.

$$G = (\underbrace{V}_{\text{vertices}}, \underbrace{E}_{\text{edges}})$$

**Example:**



- $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$
- $E = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), (2, 4)\}$

## Concepts


- degree
- neighbors
- paths, path length
- distance
- connectivity, connected components
- directed graphs

## Graph Traversals

“visit all the vertices in a connected component of graph”

- Breadth First Search (BFS).

**Example:**



BFS from 1: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 1, 3, 2, 4.

- Depth First Search (DFS).

**Example:** DFS from 1: 1, 2, 4, 3 or 1, 3, 4, 2.