

CS 396: Online Markets

Lecture 17: Online Matching

Last Time:

- matching markets
- maximum weight matching
- market clearing
- externality pricing mechanism (a.k.a, Vickrey-Clarke-Groves, VCG)

Today:

- maximum weight matching (cont)
- duality
- online matching

Exercise: Externality Pricing

Recall:

- externality pricing mechanism:
 - pick the outcome that maximizes the total welfare.
 - charge each buyer the difference between the optimal welfare without the buyer and the welfare of other buyers (in the optimal welfare outcome)

Setup:

- two buyers 1 and 2, two houses A and B
- bids:

	House A	House B
Buyer 1	8	7
Buyer 2	6	3

Questions:

- Which house does Buyer 2 get in the externality pricing mechanism?
- What is Buyer 2's payment?

Matching Algorithms

Alg: Ascending Prices (AP)

0. initialize prices: $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$
1. Construct demand graph D
2. if D has perfect matching, output it and halt. (i.e., if \mathbf{p} are market clearing)
3. else,
 - a) find set S “minimally” violating Hall's Thm
 - b) increase prices of $N(S)$ until demand set of buyer $i \in S$ changes.
 - c) repeat (1)

Offline Matching Mechanisms

Recall: Externality Pricing Mechanisms maximizes welfare in dominant strategy equilibrium

Mech: Ascending Auction (AA)

“implement ascending prices (AP) as auction”

Thm: EP's prices = AP's prices.

Cor: “truthtelling” is dominant strategy in AA.

Analysis of Ascending Prices Algorithm

Thm: Ascending Prices Alg maximizes welfare

Proof: Primal = Dual

“for maximization problem, corresponding minimization problem”

Primal Program:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Primal}(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \sum_{ij} v_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_j x_{ij} \leq 1 \quad \forall i \\ & \sum_i x_{ij} \leq 1 \quad \forall j \\ & x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i, j \end{aligned}$$

Dual Program:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Dual}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) &= \min_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}} \sum_i u_i + \sum_j p_j \\
\text{s.t. } u_i + p_j &\geq v_{ij} & \forall i, j \\
u_i &\geq 0 & \forall i \\
p_j &\geq 0 & \forall j
\end{aligned}$$

Intuition:

- utilities \mathbf{u} and prices \mathbf{p}
- $u_i \geq v_{ij} - p_j$

Lemma 1: $\text{Primal}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \text{Dual}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p})$

Lemma 2: alg's termination condition identifies dual solution with value equal to primal.

Proof 1:

- any primal feasible \mathbf{x}
- any dual feasible \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Primal}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{ij} v_{ij} x_{ij} \\
[\text{dual feasibility}] \quad &\leq \sum_{ij} (u_i + p_j) x_{ij} \\
&= \sum_i u_i \sum_j x_{ij} + \sum_j p_j \sum_i x_{ij} \\
&= \sum_i u_i \sum_j x_{ij} + \sum_j p_j \sum_i x_{ij} \\
[\text{primal feasibility}] \quad &\leq \sum_i u_i + \sum_j p_j = \text{Dual}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p})
\end{aligned}$$

Proof 2:

- for prices \mathbf{p} and allocation \mathbf{x} from algorithm,
- set \mathbf{u} as utilities of buyers
- $u_i = v_{ij} - p_j$ if $x_{ij} = 1$
- perfect matching of demand sets
 $\Rightarrow \forall i, j : u_i \geq v_{ij} - p_j$
 \Rightarrow dual feasibility
- inequalities are equalities in proof of Lemma 1.
 \Rightarrow primal = dual.

Exercise: Matching Dual

Recall:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Dual}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) &= \min_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}} \sum_i u_i + \sum_j p_j \\
\text{s.t. } u_i + p_j &\geq v_{ij} & \forall i, j \\
u_i &\geq 0 & \forall i \\
p_j &\geq 0 & \forall j
\end{aligned}$$

Setup:

- two buyers 1 and 2, two houses A and B
- values:

	House A	House B
Buyer 1	8	7
Buyer 2	6	3

Questions: Identify dual utilities:

- u_1 ?
- u_2 ?

Online Matching

“match offline buyers to online items”

Setup:

- n buyers, n items.
- buyer has value v_i for any item in $S_i \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$
- initially all buyers present
- in round j ,
 - item j arrives.
 - match to any remaining buyer i with $S_i \ni j$.
 - matched buyer leaves

Goal: maximize welfare = sum of values of matched buyers.

Alg: Greedy Online Matching

- in round j :
 - match to remaining buyer with highest value

Q: is this algorithm good?

Example:

- $v_1 = 100$; $S_1 = \{A\}$
 - $v_2 = 101$; $S_2 = \{A, B\}$
 - Greedy:
 - A arrives, assigned to 2.
 - B arrives, not assigned.
 - Greedy = 101
 - OPT = 201
- $\Rightarrow 2$ approximation

Q: can it be worse?

A: no.

Thm: Greedy Online Matching is a 2-approximation

Proof: - Approach: (a) each edge of Greedy blocks at most two edges of OPT (b) these blocked edges are lower value

- consider (i, j) matched by OPT
- suppose (i, j) matched by Greedy:
 - charge v_i to (i, j)
- suppose (i, j) not matched by Greedy

- at time j :
 - if i is already matched to j' :
 - * charge v_i to (i, j')
 - (i has the same value for j and j').
 - else, j is already matched to i' :
 - * when j arrived
 - * greedy choose i' instead of i (so $v_{i'} \geq v_i$)
 - * charge v_i to (i', j)
- each edge in Greedy charged at most twice
- all edges in OPT are accounted for $\Rightarrow 2\text{Greedy} \geq \text{OPT}$.

Example:

- $v_1 = 100$; $S_1 = \{A\}$
 - $v_2 = 101$; $S_2 = \{A, B\}$
 - $(1, A)$ not matched by greedy.
 - charged to $(2, A)$ with $v_2 > v_1 = 100$
 - $(2, B)$ is not matched by greedy.
 - charged to $(2, A)$ with value $v_2 = v_2 = 101$
 - $2\text{Greedy} = 202 \geq 100 + 101 = \text{OPT}$.
-

Online Matching Mechanisms

Note: allocation rule of Greedy Online Matching is monotonic

Mech: Online Greedy Threshold Pricing

- run Online Greedy Algorithm.
- charge buyer minimum bid needed to win.

Thm: Truth-telling is DSE in Online Greedy Threshold Pricing Mechanism

Cor: Online Greedy Threshold is 2-approx in DSE.