

CS 396: Online Markets

Lecture 3: Online Approximation

Last Time:

- course philosophy
- second price auction (cont)
- online allocation: backwards induction
- online mechanisms: sequential pricing

Today:

- online allocation (cont)
 - approximation
 - prophet inequality
 - secretary problem
-

Exercise: Approximation Ratio

Setup:

- $n=2$ buyers
- values $U[0, 1]$

Question: What is the ratio of the expected welfares of the optimal “offline” algorithm to the online backwards induction algorithm?

Recall: Online Allocation

“buyers show up one at a time, must make decision for each buyer before next buyer arrives”

A.k.a. “Online Gambling”

Assumption 1: values are drawn from known probability distributions

Recall Alg: backward induction

1. expected payoff from no prize is P_{n+1}
2. Iterate from $i = n$ to 1
 - a. threshold for prize i is $\hat{v}_i = P_{i+1}$
 - b. expected payoff from games $\{i, \dots, n\}$ $P_i = \mathbf{E}[\max(v_i, P_{i+1})]$
3. output thresholds $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = (\hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_n)$

Example: $n = 2$ buyers, values $U[0, 8]$

- thresholds: $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = (4, 0)$
 - welfare: $5/8$
 - $\mathbf{E}[v_1 | v_1 > \hat{v}_1] \Pr[v_1 > \hat{v}_1]$
 - $\mathbf{E}[v_2 | v_1 < \hat{v}_1] \Pr[v_1 < \hat{v}_1]$
 - $\Pr[v_1 > \hat{v}_1] = \Pr[v_1 < \hat{v}_1] = 1/2$
 - $\mathbf{E}[v_1 | v_1 > \hat{v}_1] = 6$
 - $\mathbf{E}[v_2 | v_1 < \hat{v}_1] = \mathbf{E}[v_2] = 4.$
 - $\Rightarrow 6(1/2) + 4(1/2) = 5$
-

Comparing online algorithm to optimal offline algorithm

Defn: an online algorithm ALG is a β -approximation to the optimal offline algorithm OPT if

$$\mathbf{E}[\text{ALG}(\mathbf{v})] \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{E}[\text{OPT}(\mathbf{v})]$$

A.k.a. “competitive analysis”

Note: $\beta \geq 1$ and smaller is better.

The eBay Problem

“posting a uniform price”

Thm: gambler can use single threshold $\hat{v}$ and obtain expected payoff at least half of expected optimal offline payoff, i.e., 2-approximation.

A.k.a. prophet inequality

“optimal offline payoff” is prophet’s payoff (who can see future)”

Proof:

0. Notation

- $\hat{v}$ = threshold
- $(\cdot)^+ = \max(\cdot, 0)$
- $\chi = \prod_i \Pr[v_i < \hat{v}] = \Pr[\text{no prize}]$
- event $\mathcal{E}_i = “\forall j \neq i, v_j < \hat{v}”$

1. upperbound on prophet

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E}[\text{OPT}(\mathbf{v})] &= \mathbf{E}[\max_i v_i] \\
 &= \hat{v} + \mathbf{E}[\max_i (v_i - \hat{v})] \\
 &\leq \hat{v} + \mathbf{E}[\max_i (v_i - \hat{v})^+] \\
 &\leq \hat{v} + \mathbf{E}[\sum_i (v_i - \hat{v})^+] \\
 &= \hat{v} + \sum_i \mathbf{E}[(v_i - \hat{v})^+]
 \end{aligned}$$

2. lowerbound on gambler

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E}[\text{ALG}(\mathbf{v})] &= (1 - \chi) \hat{v} + \mathbf{E}[\text{amount selected prize exceeds } \hat{v}] \\
 &\geq (1 - \chi) \hat{v} + \sum_i \mathbf{E}[(v_i - \hat{v})^+ | \mathcal{E}_i] \Pr[\mathcal{E}_i] \\
 &\geq (1 - \chi) \hat{v} + \chi \sum_i \mathbf{E}[(v_i - \hat{v})^+]
 \end{aligned}$$

3. choose $\chi = 1/2$ and combine.

$$\mathbf{E}[\text{ALG}(\mathbf{v})] \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}[\text{OPT}(\mathbf{v})]$$

Exercise: Gambler’s Threshold

Setup:

- $n=2$ buyers
- values $U[0, 1]$

Question: What is the threshold of the prophet inequality, i.e., where probability of no prize equal to $1/2$.

The Secretary Problem

“online allocation with ‘buyers’ in uniform random order”

Assumption 2: values are in a uniformly random order.

Example: $n = 3$

Two algs for example:

(a) give item to buyer i for some i

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[\text{success}] = 1/3$$

(b) look at 1st, condition choice of 2nd or 3rd.

- if 2nd greater than 1st, give to 2nd
- else, give to 3rd.

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[\text{success}] = 1/2$$

sequence	1 2 3	1 3 2	2 1 3	2 3 1	3 1 2	3 2 1
alg (a)					✓	✓
alg (b)		✓	✓	✓		

$$\geq \Pr[2\text{nd in 1st } 1/2] \Pr[1\text{st in 2nd } 1/2 \mid 2\text{nd in 1st } 1/2] \geq 1/4.$$

Q: what is best k ?

Thm: for $k = n/e$ alg selects highest buyer with probability $1/e$

(and this is best possible; recall $e = 2.718$)

Algorithm: Secretary Alg

1. solicit bids from k buyers, but do not sell.
2. give item to first remaining buyer with value greater than any of first k .

Lemma: For $k = n/2$ alg is selects highest value w.p. $1/4$.

Proof:

- select highest when 2nd best in first half and 1st best in second half.
- Recall “conditional probability”:
 $\Pr[A \& B] = \Pr[A \mid B] \Pr[B]$.
- $\Pr[2\text{nd best in first half}] = 1/2$
- $\Pr[1\text{st best in second half} \mid 2\text{nd best in first half}]$
 $= \frac{n/2}{n-1} \geq 1/2$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[\text{select highest}]$$